arXiv:1609.04807v1 [math.NT] 15 Sep 2016

ON TWO PROBLEMS OF CARLITZ AND THEIR GENERALIZATIONS

IOULIA N. BAOULINA

ABSTRACT. Let N_q be the number of solutions to the equation

$$(a_1x_1^{m_1}+\cdots+a_nx_n^{m_n})^k=bx_1^{k_1}\cdots x_n^{k_n}$$

over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q=\mathbb{F}_{p^s}$. Carlitz found formulas for N_q when $k_1=\cdots=k_n=m_1=\cdots=m_n=1$, $k=2$, $n=3$ or 4, $p>2$; and when $m_1=\cdots=m_n=2$, $k=k_1=\cdots=k_n=1$, $n=3$ or 4, $p>2$. In earlier papers, we studied the above equation with $k_1=\cdots=k_n=1$ and obtained some generalizations of Carlitz's results. Recently, Pan, Zhao and Cao considered the case of arbitrary positive integers $k_1,\dots,k_n$ and proved the formula $N_q=q^{n-1}+(-1)^{n-1}$, provided that $\gcd(\sum_{j=1}^n(k_jm_1\cdots m_n/m_j)-km_1\cdots m_n,q-1)=1$. In this chapter, we determine N_q explicitly in some other cases.

Keywords: Equation over a finite field, diagonal equation, number of solutions.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D79, 11G25, 11T24.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of characteristic p with $q=p^s$ elements, $\mathbb{F}_q^*=\mathbb{F}_q\setminus\{0\}$. For $p>2$, let η denote the quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_q$ ($\eta(x)=+1,-1,0$ according as x is a square, a nonsquare or zero in $\mathbb{F}_q$). We consider an equation of the type

$$(a_1x_1^{m_1}+\cdots+a_nx_n^{m_n})^k=bx_1^{k_1}\cdots x_n^{k_n}, \tag{1}$$

where $a_1,\dots,a_n,b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $k,k_1,\dots,k_n,m_1,\dots,m_n$ are positive integers, and $n\geqslant 2$. By N_q denote the number of solutions $(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{F}_q^n$ to (1). Let

$$k_0=\gcd(k,k_1,\dots,k_n,q-1),\qquad M=\operatorname{lcm}[m_1,\dots,m_n],$$
$$d_j=\gcd(m_j,q-1),\quad j=1,\dots,n,\qquad D=\operatorname{lcm}[d_1,\dots,d_n],$$
$$d=\gcd\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{k_jM}{m_j}-kM,\frac{k_1(q-1)}{d_1},\dots,\frac{k_n(q-1)}{d_n},q-1\Big).$$

Note that $k_0\mid d$. Moreover, if $k_1=\cdots=k_n=1$, then

$$d=\gcd\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{k_jM}{m_j}-kM,\frac{q-1}{D}\Big).$$

Carlitz [8] studied the case $k_1=\cdots=k_n=m_1=\cdots=m_n=1$, $k=2$, $p>2$. He proved that in this case

$$N_q=\begin{cases}q^2+1 & \text{if } n=3,\\ q^3-1-\eta(a)q & \text{if } n=4.\end{cases}$$

In another paper [7], Carlitz treated the case $m_1=\cdots=m_n=2$, $k=k_1=\cdots=k_n=1$, $p>2$, $n=3$ or 4. In a series of papers (see [1, 2, 3, 4] and references therein) we

considered (1) with $k_1 = \cdots = k_n = 1$ and obtained some generalizations of Carlitz's evaluations. Our results cover the following cases:

(a) $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 1$, $d = 1$ or 2 or 3 or 4 or 6 or 8;
(b) $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 1$, $d = 7$ or 14, $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod 7$;
(c) $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 1$, $k = 2$, $d = 2^t$, $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ or $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$;
(d) $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 2$, $k = 1$, $p > 2$, $d = 1$ or 2 or 4;
(e) $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 2$, $k = 1$, $p > 2$, $d > 1$ and -1 is a power of p modulo $2d$;
(f) $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 2$, $k = 1$, $d = 2^t$, $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ or $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$;
(g) $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 1$, $dD = 1$ or 2 or $dD > 2$ and -1 is a power of p modulo dD.

The case of arbitrary $k_1, \dots, k_n$ was recently considered in [11]. By using the augmented degree matrix and Gauss sums, the authors established the following: if

$$\gcd\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{k_j m_1 \cdots m_n}{m_j} - k m_1 \cdots m_n, q-1\Big) = 1,$$

then $N_q = q^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}$. For a simple combinatorial proof of this latter result, see [5].

The goal of this chapter is to find the explicit formulas for N_q in some other cases. Our main results are Theorems 1–4 in Sections 4 and 5. The results of numerical experiments are presented in Section 6.

2. Preliminary lemmas

Let ψ be a multiplicative character on $\mathbb{F}_q$ (we extend ψ to all of $\mathbb{F}_q$ by setting $\psi(0) = 1$ if ψ is trivial and $\psi(0) = 0$ if ψ is nontrivial). Define the sum $T(\psi)$ corresponding to the character ψ as

$$T(\psi) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n} \neq 0}} \psi^{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi^{k_n}(x_n) \bar{\psi}^{k}(a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n}).$$

Let $N_q(0)$ and $N_q^*(0)$ be the number of solutions to the corresponding diagonal equation

$$a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n} = 0 \tag{2}$$

in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$, respectively. The following lemma relates N_q to $N_q(0)$, $N_q^*(0)$ and $T(\psi)$.

Lemma 1. *If b is not a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, then*

$$N_q = N_q(0) - N_q^*(0).$$

If b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, then

$$N_q = k_0(q-1)^{n-1} + N_q(0) - \frac{k_0 + q - 1}{q-1} N_q^*(0) + \sum_{\psi^{k_0} \neq \varepsilon} \psi(b) T(\psi),$$

where the summation is taken over all multiplicative characters ψ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order not dividing k_0.

Proof. Let N_q^* be the number of solutions to (1) in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$. Note that the set of solutions to (1) in $\mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ is the same as the set of solutions to (2) in $\mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$. This yields

$$N_q = N_q^* + N_q(0) - N_q^*(0). \tag{3}$$

If b is not a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, it is easy to see that $N_q^* = 0$, and so $N_q = N_q(0) - N_q^*(0)$. Suppose that b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n} \neq 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\psi} \psi(b)\psi^{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi^{k_n}(x_n)\bar{\psi}^k(a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n})^k = b x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}, \\ 0 & \text{if } (a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n})^k \neq b x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}, \end{cases}$$

where the summation is taken over all multiplicative characters ψ on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N_q^* &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n} \neq 0}} \sum_{\psi} \psi(b)\psi^{k_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi^{k_n}(x_n)\bar{\psi}^k(a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\psi^{k_0} = \varepsilon} \psi(b) \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ a_1 x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{m_n} \neq 0}} 1 + \sum_{\psi^{k_0} \neq \varepsilon} \psi(b) T(\psi) \\ &= k_0 \left((q-1)^{n-1} - \frac{N_q^*(0)}{q-1} \right) + \sum_{\psi^{k_0} \neq \varepsilon} \psi(b) T(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression into (3), we deduce the desired result. □

Our next lemma shows that $T(\psi)$ vanishes when $\psi^d \neq \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2. *Let ψ be a multiplicative character of order δ on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose that $\delta \nmid d$. Then $T(\psi) = 0$.*

Proof. It is analogous to that of [2, Lemma 3.2]. □

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

Corollary 1. *If b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, then*

$$N_q = k_0(q-1)^{n-1} + N_q(0) - \frac{k_0 + q - 1}{q-1} N_q^*(0) + \sum_{\substack{\psi^d = \varepsilon \\ \psi^{k_0} \neq \varepsilon}} \psi(b) T(\psi),$$

where the summation is taken over all multiplicative characters ψ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order dividing d but not k_0.

3. Formulas for the number of solutions to diagonal equations

Lemma 3. *Assume that $d_1, \dots, d_t$ are odd, $d_{t+1}, \dots, d_n$ are even, $d_1, \dots, d_t, d_{t+1}/2, \dots, d_n/2$ are pairwise coprime, $0 \leq t \leq n$. Then*

$$N_q(0) = q^{n-1} + \begin{cases} \eta((-1)^{n/2} a_1 \cdots a_n) q^{(n-2)/2}(q-1) & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_q^*(0)=\frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q}\\ +\begin{cases}(-1)^n(q-1)\sum\limits_{j=1}^{[(n-t)/2]}\eta((-1)^j)\sigma_{2j}(\eta(a_{t+1}),\dots,\eta(a_n))q^{j-1} & \text{if } t<n,\\ 0 & \text{if } t=n,\end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_{2j}(z_1,\dots,z_{n-t})$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials.

Proof. See Theorem 2 in [9], Theorem 2 in [14] and the proof of Theorem 3 in [1]. □

For positive integers $v_1,\dots,v_r$ let $I(v_1,\dots,v_r)$ denote the number of r-tuples $(j_1,\dots,j_r)$ of integers with $1\le j_t\le v_t-1$ $(1\le t\le r)$ such that $(j_1/v_1)+\dots+(j_r/v_r)$ is an integer.

Lemma 4. *Assume that $a_1=\dots=a_n=1$, $D>2$ and there exists a positive integer ℓ such that $D\mid(p^\ell+1)$, with ℓ chosen minimal. Then $2\ell\mid s$,*

$$N_q(0)=q^{n-1}+(-1)^{((s/2\ell)-1)n}q^{(n-2)/2}(q-1)I(d_1,\dots,d_n),$$

and

$$N_q^*(0)=\frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q}\\ +(-1)^n(q-1)\sum_{r=2}^{n}(-1)^{rs/2\ell}q^{(r-2)/2}\sum_{1\le j_1<\dots<j_r\le n}I(d_{j_1},\dots,d_{j_r}).$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.1 and the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [2]. □

It is known (see [12, Proposition 6.17]) that

$$I(\underbrace{v,\dots,v}_{r})=\frac{(v-1)^r+(-1)^r(v-1)}{v}.$$

Therefore we have the following corollary (the first part of this corollary is due to Wolfmann [16, Corollary 4]).

Corollary 2. *Assume that $d_1=\dots=d_n=D$. Under the conditions of Lemma 4, we have*

$$N_q(0)=q^{n-1}+(-1)^{((s/2\ell)-1)n}q^{(n-2)/2}(q-1)\cdot\frac{(D-1)^n+(-1)^n(D-1)}{D}$$

and

$$N_q^*(0)=\frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q}\\ +(-1)^n(q-1)\sum_{r=2}^{n}(-1)^{rs/2\ell}q^{(r-2)/2}\binom{n}{r}\cdot\frac{(D-1)^r+(-1)^r(D-1)}{D}.$$

In the general case

$$I(v_1,\dots,v_r) = (-1)^r + \sum_{t=1}^{r}(-1)^{r-t}\sum_{1\le j_1<\cdots<j_t\le r}\frac{v_{j_1}\cdots v_{j_t}}{\operatorname{lcm}[v_{j_1},\dots,v_{j_t}]}$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^r}{v_1\cdots v_r}\sum_{t=0}^{v_1\cdots v_r-1}\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ v_j\mid t}}^{r}(1-v_j)$$

(see [10, Equation (6.12)], [13, Theorem 1], [15, Corollary 2.2], and [12, Theorem 6.18], respectively).

4. The case when b is not a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$

Combining Lemmas 1, 3, 4 and Corollary 2, we obtain the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $d_1,\dots,d_t$ are odd, $d_{t+1},\dots,d_n$ are even, $d_1,\dots,d_t$, $d_{t+1}/2,\dots,d_n/2$ are pairwise coprime, $0\le t\le n$, and b is not a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $t=0$ and n is even, then*

$$N_q = q^{n-1} - \frac{(q-1)^n+q-1}{q} - (q-1)\sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2}\eta((-1)^j)\sigma_{2j}(\eta(a_1),\dots,\eta(a_n))q^{j-1};$$

if $t=n$, then

$$N_q = q^{n-1} - \frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q};$$

otherwise

$$N_q = q^{n-1} - \frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q} - (-1)^n(q-1)\sum_{j=1}^{[(n-t)/2]}\eta((-1)^j)\sigma_{2j}(\eta(a_{t+1}),\dots,\eta(a_n))q^{j-1}.$$

Theorem 2. *Assume that $a_1=\cdots=a_n=1$, b is not a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, $D>2$ and there exists a positive integer ℓ such that $D\mid(p^\ell+1)$, with ℓ chosen minimal. Then $2\ell\mid s$ and*

$$N_q = q^{n-1} - \frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q} - (-1)^n(q-1)\sum_{r=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{rs/2\ell}q^{(r-2)/2}\sum_{1\le j_1<\cdots<j_r\le n}I(d_{j_1},\dots,d_{j_r}).$$

In particular, if $d_1=\cdots=d_n=D$, then

$$N_q = q^{n-1} - \frac{(q-1)^n+(-1)^n(q-1)}{q} - (-1)^n(q-1)\sum_{r=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{rs/2\ell}q^{(r-2)/2}\binom{n}{r}\cdot\frac{(D-1)^r+(-1)^r(D-1)}{D}.$$

5. The case when b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$

If b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, then, in general, one needs to evaluate the sums $T(\psi)$. However, if

$$\gcd\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_jM}{k_0m_j}-\frac{kM}{k_0},\frac{k_1(q-1)}{k_0d_1},\dots,\frac{k_n(q-1)}{k_0d_n},\frac{q-1}{k_0}\Big)=1, \tag{4}$$

that is, if $k_0=d$, then Corollary 1 yields

$$N_q=k_0(q-1)^{n-1}+N_q(0)-\frac{k_0+q-1}{q-1}\,N_q^*(0).$$

Combining the latter equality with Lemmas 3 and 4 and Corollary 2, we deduce the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $d_1,\dots,d_t$ are odd, $d_{t+1},\dots,d_n$ are even, $d_1,\dots,d_t,\,d_{t+1}/2,\dots,d_n/2$ are pairwise coprime, $0\le t\le n$, and b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Assume in addition that* (4) *holds. If $t=0$ and n is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned}N_q=&\,q^{n-1}-1+\frac{(k_0-1)\big((q-1)^n-1\big)}{q}-k_0\eta((-1)^{n/2}a_1\cdots a_n)q^{(n-2)/2}\\&-(k_0+q-1)\sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2}\eta((-1)^j)\sigma_{2j}(\eta(a_1),\dots,\eta(a_n))q^{j-1};\end{aligned}$$

if $t=n$, then

$$N_q=q^{n-1}-(-1)^n+\frac{(k_0-1)\big((q-1)^n-(-1)^n\big)}{q};$$

otherwise

$$\begin{aligned}N_q=&\,q^{n-1}-(-1)^n+\frac{(k_0-1)\big((q-1)^n-(-1)^n\big)}{q}\\&-(-1)^n(k_0+q-1)\sum_{j=1}^{[(n-t)/2]}\eta((-1)^j)\sigma_{2j}(\eta(a_{t+1}),\dots,\eta(a_n))q^{j-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. *Assume that $a_1=\cdots=a_n=1$, b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$, $D>2$ and there exists a positive integer ℓ such that $D\mid(p^\ell+1)$, with ℓ chosen minimal. Assume in addition that* (4) *holds. Then $2\ell\mid s$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}N_q=&\,q^{n-1}-(-1)^n+\frac{(k_0-1)\big((q-1)^n-(-1)^n\big)}{q}\\&-(-1)^{((s/2\ell)-1)n}k_0q^{(n-2)/2}I(d_1,\dots,d_n)\\&-(-1)^n(k_0+q-1)\sum_{r=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{rs/2\ell}q^{(r-2)/2}\sum_{1\le j_1<\cdots<j_r\le n}I(d_{j_1},\dots,d_{j_r}).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $d_1 = \cdots = d_n = D$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N_q = {} & q^{n-1} - (-1)^n + \frac{(k_0-1)\big((q-1)^n - (-1)^n\big)}{q} \\ & - (-1)^{((s/2\ell)-1)n} k_0 q^{(n-2)/2} \cdot \frac{(D-1)^n + (-1)^n (D-1)}{D} \\ & - (-1)^n (k_0 + q - 1) \sum_{r=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{rs/2\ell} q^{(r-2)/2} \binom{n}{r} \cdot \frac{(D-1)^r + (-1)^r (D-1)}{D}. \end{aligned}$$

6. Numerical results

Our theoretical results are supported by numerical experiments. Some numerical results are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

TABLE 1. The case when b is not a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$

q	n	$(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$	$(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$	$(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$	k	k_0	N_q
16	5	$(1,1,1,1,1)$	$(2,4,6,8,10)$	$(5,5,10,10,10)$	10	5	18076
17	6	$(1,1,1,1,3,5)$	$(2,6,6,8,10,14)$	$(4,4,8,8,8,12)$	8	4	433249
19	6	$(1,1,1,2,2,2)$	$(2,2,2,6,14,14)$	$(3,3,3,3,6,9)$	6	3	684901
25	5	$(1,1,1,1,1,1)$	$(3,9,10,15,18)$	$(4,4,8,12,16)$	8	4	81553
31	4	$(1,1,5,7)$	$(5,7,9,11)$	$(2,4,6,8)$	10	2	3661
43	5	$(1,1,2,2,3)$	$(5,8,8,12,28)$	$(7,7,14,14,28)$	21	7	377665
81	4	$(1,1,1,1)$	$(4,4,12,28)$	$(8,8,16,32)$	24	8	7041

TABLE 2. The case when b is a k_0th power in $\mathbb{F}_q$

q	n	$(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$	$(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$	$(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$	k	k_0	N_q
37	6	$(1,1,1,2,2,2)$	$(1,2,2,2,4,6)$	$(9,9,9,9,9,18)$	9	9	539998021
47	5	$(1,1,1,5,5)$	$(3,7,8,12,14)$	$(2,4,6,8,10)$	4	2	9261921
61	4	$(1,1,1,2)$	$(6,8,10,14)$	$(6,6,6,6)$	12	6	1289641
64	4	$(1,1,1,1)$	$(9,18,27,36)$	$(3,3,3,3)$	12	3	781975
71	3	$(1,1,1)$	$(3,15,49)$	$(7,21,28)$	35	7	34028
81	5	$(1,1,1,1,1)$	$(3,15,30,35,70)$	$(5,5,15,15,20)$	25	5	205707971
97	3	$(1,7,7)$	$(2,10,12)$	$(4,4,4)$	8	4	36673

7. Conclusion

In a similar manner, making use of our recent results on diagonal equations of the form $x_1^{2^m} + \cdots + x_n^{2^m} = 0$ [6], we are able to determine N_q explicitly when $d_1 = \cdots = d_n = 2^m$ and $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$. Although this is straightforward in principle, the resulting formulas are quite cumbersome and for this reason are omitted here.

REFERENCES

[1] I. Baoulina, On the number of solutions of the equation $a_1x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + a_nx_n^{m_n} = bx_1 \cdots x_n$ in a finite field, *Acta Appl. Math.* **89** (2005) 35–39.

[2] I. Baoulina, On the equation $(x_1^{m_1} + \cdots + x_n^{m_n})^k = ax_1 \cdots x_n$ over a finite field, *Int. J. Number Theory* **2** (2006) 351–363.

[3] I. Baoulina, On the number of solutions of the equation $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^m = ax_1 \cdots x_n$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ for $\gcd(m-n, q-1) = 7$ and $\gcd(m-n, q-1) = 14$, in *Number Theory and Applications: Proceedings of the International Conferences on Number Theory and Cryptography*, eds. S. D. Adhikari and B. Ramakrishnan (Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2009), pp. 15–24.

[4] I. Baoulina, On the Carlitz problem on the number of solutions to some special equations over finite fields, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **23** (2011) 1–20.

[5] I. N. Baoulina, Solutions of equations over finite fields: Enumeration via bijections, *J. Algebra Appl.* **15** (2016) 1650136.

[6] I. N. Baoulina, On a class of diagonal equations over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* **40** (2016) 201–223.

[7] L. Carlitz, Certain special equations in a finite field, *Monatsh. Math.* **58** (1954) 5–12.

[8] L. Carlitz, The number of solutions of some equations in a finite field, *Portug. Math.* **13** (1954) 25–31.

[9] J. R. Joly, Nombre de solutions de certaines équations diagonales sur un corps fini, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A–B* **272** (1971) 1549–1552.

[10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983).

[11] X. Pan, X. R. Zhao and W. Cao, A problem of Carlitz and its generalizations, *Arch. Math.* **102** (2014) 337–343.

[12] C. Small, *Arithmetic of Finite Fields* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991).

[13] Q. Sun, D. Q. Wan and D. G. Ma, On the Diophantine equation $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod 1$ and its applications, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **7** (1986) 232–236.

[14] Q. Sun and P.-Z. Yuan, On the number of solutions of diagonal equations over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **2** (1996) 35–41.

[15] Z.-W. Sun, Exact m-covers and the linear form $\sum_{s=1}^k x_s/n_s$, *Acta Arith.* **81** (1997) 175–198.

[16] J. Wolfmann, The number of solutions of certain diagonal equations over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **42** (1992) 247–257.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY, KRASNOPRUDNAYA STR. 14, MOSCOW 107140, RUSSIA

E-mail address: jbaulina@mail.ru